



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/623,476 | 07/18/2003 | George Blaisdell | 12927.15US01 4568 | | |
| 23552 | 7590 11/07/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903 | | | VASUDEVA, AJAY | | |
| MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903 | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMB | | |
| | | | 3617 | | |

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/623,476 | BLAISDELL ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Ajay Vasudeva | 3617 | | |

| | Ajay Vasudeva | 3617 | |
|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 11 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, affi tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl | ce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). | g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount eshortened statutory period for reply original three months after the mailing date. | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered b | ecause |
| (a) \square They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | • • | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | 16 and 41.33(a)). | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) |): | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | · | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 33. | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>19</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-18 and 32</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) velected: <u>10-10 and 52</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-4,6-9,11,12,14,</u> AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | 15,20-29,31 and 34. | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | _ |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| 10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | ned. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | | | nce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | |
| | | | |
| | | NEXT | PAGE -> |

Continuation of 3.

NOTE:

A new search and consideration is required to determine the allowability of the newly amended claim 32.

Applicants may note that an objected-to claim is considered allowable only when it is rewritten to include all limitations of the rejected base claim and any intervening claims. Therefore, incorporating the subject matter of claim 19 in claim 16 makes it allowable. However, because the objected-to claim 19 did not originally depend from the rejected claim 32, incorporating its subject matter in claim 32 does not necessarily makes the claim 32 allowable. A further search and consideration is therefore required to determine the allowability of the amended claim 32.

Ajay Vasudeva
PATENT EXAMINER

A4:3617

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600